

Antioch University

AURA - Antioch University Repository and Archive

3.400 Finance (Business Management)

3.000 Business Management

October 2018

3.431 Administration of Federal Grant Funds

Follow this and additional works at: https://aura.antioch.edu/policies_300_4x

Recommended Citation

(2018). 3.431 Administration of Federal Grant Funds. https://aura.antioch.edu/policies_300_4x/16

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the 3.000 Business Management at AURA - Antioch University Repository and Archive. It has been accepted for inclusion in 3.400 Finance (Business Management) by an authorized administrator of AURA - Antioch University Repository and Archive. For more information, please contact hhale@antioch.edu.



ANTIOCH UNIVERSITY

<div>Type of Policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>University</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Campus</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Department/Unit</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Interim</i></div>		Administration of Federal Grant Funds Policy 3.431	
General Policies		Effective date: February 1, 2018	
Policy History:	Approved by:	Resolution #	Date:
Approved			
Revised			
Responsible Office:	Responsible Administrator:	Contact information:	Applies to:
Finance Office	Vice Chancellor for Finance/CFO	Allan Gozum 937-769-1304	All employees, students, vendors and contractors.

I. Introduction

In furtherance of its mission, Antioch University pursues both private and public grant opportunities, as appropriate. As a recipient of federal grant monies, the University is subject to regulations regarding grant expenditure and reporting. This policy is designed to ensure that the University complies with those requirements. This policy is intended to be consistent with the federal Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") Uniform Guidance (2 CFR §200). Where updates to federal law conflict with the terms of this policy, updates shall apply.

II. Application

This policy applies to expenditure of and accounting for monies received through federal grants, whether those monies come directly from an agency of the United States government or through an intermediary.

III. Ethics and Conflicts of Interest

Antioch University takes seriously its role as a steward of federal funds. Instructions for how to report a suspected or known violation of this policy are located in Section XIII below.

A. University Employees

All grant work undertaken by the University must be complete, accurate and truthful. Furthermore, all University research must comply with applicable law, regulations and professional best practices.

University Policy 2.105 (Conflicts of Interest and Commitment) requires all University employees to avoid conflicts of interest and to disclose potential conflicts of interest. All employees involved in expenditure of federal grant monies must avoid any actual or apparent conflict of interest. Such employees may not derive any personal financial or other benefit from any contract or transaction using federal grant funds. This prohibition includes relatives and others whose close relationships could give rise to an appearance of conflict. Any employee who has a potential conflict of interest must disclose that potential conflict in accordance with Section V of University Policy 2.105, by submitting Form 2.105:1.

Contractors or consultants who draft bid specifications or requests for proposal on the University's behalf are disqualified from bidding on those opportunities, to ensure that their work for the University does not give them unfair advantage over competitors.

University employees may not accept kickbacks, rebates, gratuities or other gifts or tokens of appreciation from vendors (including but not limited to suppliers, consultants and contractors). Rebates and discounts to the University (not to individual employees) are permitted provided they:

1. Comport with all applicable laws;
2. Provide a direct benefit to the University;
3. Result from an arm's-length negotiation, which is fully documented in the file;
and
4. Are consistent with the vendor's standard pricing or discounting policies.

Vendors who offer inappropriate benefits or rewards to individual University employees shall immediately be reported to the Finance Office.

B. Departments and/or Programs of Antioch University

The various departments and/or programs of Antioch University may not bid on contracts offered by other departments and/or programs if such bidding would create

an actual or apparent conflict of interest, create an appearance of favoritism or interfere with free and open competition with bidders from outside the University.

C. Suppliers or Bidders

To avoid conflict of interest or the appearance of conflict of interest, contractors or consultants who prepare specifications, statements of work or other material portions of requests for proposal shall be excluded from bidding on the underlying work. As with natural persons, parent, subsidiary and affiliated companies must also be excluded. The University will not accept bids that it determines are based upon anti-competitive pricing or practices.

IV. Financial Responsibility

The Principal Investigator ("PI") is the administrator designated in the grant application and/or approval as the individual responsible for performance of the grant activities. The Principal Investigator has primary responsibility for monitoring financial activity to ensure that all grant expenses are within the project budget line-item limits, are recorded accurately and timely, and comply with the requirements set forth in this policy. In addition to the requirements of this policy, individual federal grants may contain additional requirements. It is therefore important for grant administrators to understand and comply with the requirements of each federal grant.

All expense submissions must be approved by the Principal Investigator or his or her designee. A designee should be an individual in a position to be aware of and supervise all award activity in the absence of the Principal Investigator.

A University employee at a level above the Principal Investigator must approve any expense reimbursements made directly to a Principal Investigator or charges made by a Principal Investigator on a University credit card.

V. Accounting Processes

In order to secure the best value for each federal dollar and to promote free and open competition, all purchases using federal funds require a cost/price analysis and documentation showing that more than one vendor was considered. The detail of the analysis and documentation required increases with the amount spent.

Generally, Antioch University is responsible for the efficient and effective administration of Federal grants through sound management practices. The Principal Investigator for each grant has primary responsibility for grant administration, including properly charging costs to grants as follows:

A. Costs

Costs must be reasonable, allowable and allocable.

1. A cost is “reasonable” if it is one a reasonable person would incur in the circumstances, after appropriate market research and price analysis.
2. “Allowable” costs must:
 - a. Be necessary and reasonable for the performance of the grant and be allocable to that award. Duplicative or unnecessary purchases are not “allowable” and are not eligible for reimbursement from Federal grant monies.
 - b. Conform to any limitations set forth in this Policy or in the grant.
 - c. Be recorded and classified in a consistent treatment. For example, costs that are classified as indirect outside the context of a Federal grant may not be classified as direct costs when applied to a Federal grant.
 - d. Be determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”).
 - e. Not be included as a cost or used to meet cost sharing or matching requirements of any other federally-financed program in either the current or a prior period.
 - f. Be properly documented (see section VI .G. below).
 - g. Not be incurred to propose or solicit an award. Any such costs, including time spent, cannot be charged to that award, unless explicitly stated in the grant agreement.
3. A cost is “allocable” to the extent that it provides a benefit to the project for which the grant was awarded. If there is no benefit, the expense is not “allocable.” If an expense is not “allocable,” it is automatically not “allowable” and cannot be paid for from grant funds.

B. Accounting for Costs

Costs charged to federal grants shall be the actual cost incurred by the University and shall reflect any credits or discounts obtained by the University.

If a cost benefits more than one project, but the proportion of benefit to each cannot be determined because of the interrelationship of those projects, then the cost may be allocated between those projects on any reasonable basis, with proper documentation as to how and why the allocation was made.

If a grant specifically authorizes the purchase of equipment or other capital assets, those costs shall be allocated to that grant, regardless of what use is made of such equipment

or asset after its original purpose is completed. “Indirect” costs, such as maintenance and depreciation are discussed in Section III. E, below.

In general, costs allocable to one grant may not be charged to any other federal grant to overcome fund deficiencies or any other reason. In certain instances, however, shifting costs under two or more federal grants may be allowed. Cost shifting between federal grants is not permitted without the prior, written approval of the Vice Chancellor for Finance/CFO.

Whenever Cost Accounting Standards apply, those standards shall take precedence over the allocation principles above. Grant administrators should consult the University Finance Office for guidance.

Certain grants may be subject to statutory limits on allowable costs. In those cases, costs that exceed that limit may not be charged to the grant.

Any payments made for costs determined to be unallowable must be returned (with interest) to the granting agency.

When in doubt regarding the reasonableness, acceptability or allocability of any costs, grant administrators should consult the University Finance Office.

C. Account Codes

Account codes are used to classify and accurately track expenses and expenditures. Use of account codes is also required by federal law and regulation. Principal Investigators are responsible for the consistent and accurate use of account codes.

D. Indirect (Facilities and Administration) Costs

Indirect costs may be allocated to a grant only if they provide a benefit to that specific grant program. Individual grants may set forth specific requirements relating to reimbursement of indirect costs, matching or cost sharing.

Indirect (Facilities and Administration) costs must be classified as “Facilities” or “Administration.”

1. “Facilities” means depreciation on buildings, equipment and capital improvement, interest on debt associated with certain buildings, equipment and capital improvements, and operations and maintenance expenses. Library expenses are “Facilities” costs.

2. "Administration" means general administration and general expenses such as , accounting, personnel and other indirect costs not defined above as "Facilities" costs.

E. Certifications.

Federal law requires periodic reports detailing the use of grant monies. These reports, as well as vouchers requesting payment, must be certified in writing by Principal Investigators. The required certification reads:

"By signing this report, I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the report is true, complete, and accurate, and the expenditures, disbursements and cash receipts are for the purposes and objectives set forth in the terms and conditions of the Federal award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent information, or the omission of any material fact, may subject me to criminal, civil or administrative penalties for fraud, false statements, false claims or otherwise. (U.S. Code Title 18, Section 1001 and Title 31, Sections 3729-3730 and 3801-3812)."

VI. Procurement Processes

A. General Conditions

The following requirements are applicable to all procurement transactions, regardless of size:

1. Procurement transactions shall be conducted in a lawful and ethical manner.
2. Unnecessary/duplicative purchases are not permitted (and are not reimbursable expenses).
3. Principal Investigators are responsible to ensure contractor performance in accordance with their contracts or purchase orders.
4. Whenever possible, Principal Investigators should:
 - a. Consider leasing versus purchasing;
 - b. Enter into agreements to share common goods or services with other educational institutions, non-profit organizations or governmental entities;
 - c. Use federal excess or surplus property in lieu of new purchases;
 - d. Consider breaking purchases into smaller consignments, or consolidating purchases, if doing so will produce lower pricing or greater value.

B. Purchase Types

1. Micro Purchases are purchases of less than \$3,000, or \$2,000 in the case of acquisitions for construction contracts subject to the Davis-Bacon Act. Micro

purchases are typically standardized goods or services available from many sources. Such purchases do not require competitive bidding or detailed documentation. However, grant administrators should obtain pricing from more than one supplier and this research should be documented in the file. Whenever practicable, micro purchases should be distributed equitably among qualified suppliers.

2. Small Purchases are purchases greater than \$3,000 but less than the “Simplified Acquisition Threshold” (currently \$150,000). Small Purchases require additional research and documentation. At minimum, grant administrators should obtain written quotations from at least two competing sources. All requests for proposals shall identify the University as an Equal Opportunity Employer and require the same certification from suppliers.

Minimum acceptable documentation consists of requests for proposal issued, responses received and criteria used for final selection. There is no requirement that contracts be awarded solely on the basis of price (i.e. to the lowest bidder). Other considerations, such as vendor’s experience in the field or quality of products or services offered, may justify a higher price. Those reasons must be documented in the transaction file, however. Consult the Finance Office if special circumstances arise (e.g., only one suitable supplier exists).

3. Purchases over the “Simplified Acquisition Threshold” are purchases greater than \$150,000. Grant administrators are required to notify the Finance Office of such purchases. The Finance Office will provide assistance and guidance for purchases over the Simplified Acquisition Threshold.
4. Procurement by Sealed Bids (*i.e.*, formal advertising). Sealed bids are publicly solicited requests for bids or proposals at a fixed contract price. The contracts are awarded to the bidder whose bid conforms in all material respects to the specified requirements and offers the lowest price. Sealed bids are the preferred method for procuring construction contracts. The Principal Investigator shall establish a written method for conducting technical evaluations of the proposals received and for selecting recipients before the bidding opportunity is announced.
 - a. Sealed bidding is appropriate in the following circumstances:
 - i. A complete, adequate, and realistic specification or purchase description is available;
 - ii. Two or more responsible bidders are willing and able to compete effectively for the business; and

- iii. The procurement lends itself to a fixed price contract and the selection of the successful bidder can be made principally on the basis of price.
- b. If sealed bids are used, the following requirements apply:
 - i. The invitation for bids shall be publicly advertised;
 - ii. Bids must be solicited from an adequate number of known suppliers, providing them sufficient time to respond;
 - iii. The invitation for bids must fully describe the items or services sought, so that the bidder may properly respond;
 - iv. All bids will be publicly opened at the time and place prescribed in the invitation for bids;
 - v. A firm fixed price contract award will be made in writing to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. Where specified in bidding documents, factors such as discounts, transportation cost, and life cycle costs must be considered in determining which bid is lowest;
 - vi. Payment discounts will only be used to determine the low bid when prior experience indicates that such discounts are usually taken advantage of; and
 - vii. Any or all bids may be rejected if there is a sound, documented reason.
- 5. Sole Source Procurement. "Sole source" or non-competitive procurement may be used only when one or more of the following circumstances apply:
 - a. The item is available only from a single source;
 - b. Public exigency or emergency will not permit a delay resulting from competitive solicitation;
 - c. The Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity expressly authorizes noncompetitive proposals; or
 - d. After solicitation of a number of sources, competition is determined to be inadequate.

C. Price

Price analysis is a comparison of prices offered by qualified vendors competing in the open market. Price analysis may be as simple as "comparison shopping" prices for standard goods published by a number of vendors or as complicated as written bids submitted in response to a detailed request for proposal.

D. Competition

All procurement transactions must be conducted in a manner providing full and open competition. Requirements or practices that impede or obstruct such competition are not permitted and may result in disciplinary action.

Federal grant regulations set aside preferences required by state or local law, unless the grant or applicable federal law expressly mandate or encourage observance of such preferences. Federal preemption does not apply, however, to state licensing laws.

1. To further ensure free and open competition, all solicitations shall:
 - a. Incorporate a clear and accurate description of the technical requirements for the material, product, or service to be procured. Features or requirements that unduly restrict competition are not permitted. "Brand name or equivalent" descriptions may be used as a means to define the performance or other salient requirements of procurement. The specific features of the named brand to be met by offers must be clearly stated;
 - b. Identify all requirements and all factors to be used in evaluating bids;
 - c. Ensure that all prequalified lists of persons, firms, or products used in acquiring goods and services are current and include enough qualified sources to ensure maximum open and free competition. No potential bidder shall be barred from submitting during the proposal period.
2. In those instances in which no price competition exists, the grant administrator shall negotiate the supplier's profit as a separate line item. To establish a fair and reasonable profit, consider the:
 - a. Complexity of the work to be performed;
 - b. Risk borne by the contractor;
 - c. Contractor's investment;
 - d. Amount of subcontracting;
 - e. Contractor's record of past performance;
 - f. Industry profit rates for similar work in the surrounding area.

E. Construction Contracts

Construction contracts may not be awarded based on a "cost plus" pricing method. The fee payable under the contract must be expressed in dollars to be paid, and not as a percentage of any cost component. In addition, grant administrators shall apply value engineering to all construction contracts and document the value engineering analysis.

F. Vendor Quality

Contracts shall be awarded only to providers of known integrity and ability to fulfill the contract requirements.

G. Recordkeeping

Each project leader must maintain records detailing the history of all procurements. At a minimum, these records will disclose the rationale for the:

1. Method of procurement;
2. Selection of contract type;
3. Contractor selection or rejection; and,
4. Basis for the contract price.

H. Time and Material Contracts.

Time and material contracts may be used only after a determination that no other contract is suitable and the contract includes a ceiling price that the contractor exceeds at its own risk.

I. Minority-owned Businesses

The University shall take all necessary affirmative steps to assure that minority businesses, women's business enterprises, and labor surplus area firms are used when possible. Affirmative steps include, at minimum:

1. Placing qualified small and minority businesses and women's business enterprises on solicitation lists;
2. Assuring that small and minority businesses, and women's business enterprises are solicited whenever they are potential sources;
3. Dividing total requirements, when economically feasible, into smaller tasks or quantities to permit maximum participation by small and minority businesses, and women's business enterprises;
4. Establishing delivery schedules, where the requirement permits, which encourage participation by small and minority businesses, and women's business enterprises;
5. Using the services and assistance, as appropriate, of such organizations as the Small Business Administration and the Minority Business Development Agency of the Department of Commerce; and
6. Requiring the prime contractor, if subcontracts are to be let, to observe the foregoing requirements.

Records of all procurement transactions, and all relevant supporting documents, shall be available upon request to the federal granting agency or the pass-through agency responsible for the funds provided to the University.

J. Mandatory Contract Provisions

Individual grants may require that contracts funded by that grant contain certain provisions. To ensure compliance, all grant agreements and subcontracts must be reviewed and approved by the Office of General Counsel in accordance with University Policy 3.425 (Contract Review) and executed in accordance with University Policy 2.403 (Expenditure, Contract and Signature Authority).

Required terms are discussed here to provide users with a basic understanding of these provisions.

1. Equal Opportunity Employer. Contractor must certify that it is an Equal Opportunity Employer.
2. Davis-Bacon Act. The Davis-Bacon Act applies to construction contracts in excess of \$2,000. It requires contractors to pay laborers and mechanics wages not less than the “prevailing” wage, as determined by the Secretary of Labor. Therefore:
 - a. Each bid solicitation published by the University must contain the current prevailing wage determination.
 - b. Any award of the contract must be conditioned on contractor’s acceptance of that wage determination.
 - c. Suspected or reported violations of the Davis-Bacon Act shall be immediately reported to the Federal awarding agency.
3. Copeland “Anti-Kickback” Act. The Copeland “Anti-Kickback” Act also applies to construction contracts in excess of \$2,000. It prohibits “kickbacks” in construction contracts funded with federal monies. Therefore:
 - a. Contractors and subcontractors (sometimes referred to as “sub-recipients”) shall be prohibited from inducing any person employed in the construction, completion, or repair of public work, to give up any part of the compensation to which they are otherwise entitled.
 - b. Suspected or reported violations shall be immediately reported to the Federal awarding agency.
4. Construction Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act. Construction contracts in excess of \$100,000 shall require that the wages of mechanics or laborers comply with federal law; including:
 - a. Wages of mechanics and laborers shall be computed on the basis of 40 hours of work per week; and,
 - b. Work in excess of forty hours per week shall be paid at a rate at least 1.5 times the basic hourly rate; and

- c. Contractors shall be prohibited from requiring laborers or mechanics from working in surroundings or under conditions that are unsanitary, hazardous or dangerous.
- 5. Rights to Inventions Made Under a Contract or Agreement. Where federal law permits institutions of higher learning to claim title to inventions or discoveries that result from research funded by federal grants, any subcontracts or sub-awards that the University may issue using federal funds must offer that same opportunity to the sub-recipient.

Antioch University and/or its sub-recipients may claim title to their discoveries and inventions by following procedures set forth in federal statute and the terms of the applicable grant. Please contact the Finance Office for specific guidance.

If the University or the sub-recipient decline to take title, title passes to the granting agency. If that agency waives its claim to title, title vests in the individual inventors or discoverers.

Principal Investigators are responsible for providing granting agencies and the Finance Office with timely notice of patentable inventions and discoveries, for protecting such inventions and discoveries against unauthorized use or disclosure and for training their personnel in the importance of timely disclosure of all inventions or discoveries.

Decisions as to whether the University will take title to any invention or discovery will be made by the Finance Office, in consultation with other appropriate units of the University.

- 6. Clean Air and Water. Contractor shall be required to comply with all applicable standards, orders or regulations issued pursuant to the Clean Air Act and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act. Principal Investigators shall report observed or suspected violations to the Finance Office which shall advise the grant awarding agency and the Regional Office of the Environmental Protection Agency.
- 7. Energy Efficiency. Contractor shall be required to meet all applicable federal energy conservation and efficiency standards pursuant to the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6201).
- 8. Debarment and Suspension. Contracts funded with federal grant monies may not be awarded to contractors that have been debarred or suspended from receiving federal monies pursuant to the Federal Excluded Parties List System.

9. Byrd Anti-Lobbying Amendment. Contractors that apply or bid for an award of \$100,000 or more must certify that they have not used federal funds to pay any person or organization for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a member of Congress, officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a member of Congress in connection with obtaining any federal contract, grant or any other award.

VII. Bonding Requirements.

Unless the granting agency has made a separate determination accepting Antioch's bonding policy, all contracts for construction or facility improvement contracts or subcontracts exceeding the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (currently \$150,000) shall meet the following requirements:

- A. Each bidder shall provide a bid guarantee equivalent to five percent of the bid price. The "bid guarantee" must consist of a firm commitment such as a bid bond, certified check, or other negotiable instrument accompanying a bid as assurance that the bidder will, upon acceptance of the bid, execute such contractual documents as may be required within the time specified.
- B. Successful bidders shall, prior to contract execution, provide a performance bond for 100 percent of the contract price to secure fulfillment of contractor's obligations under such contract.
- C. Successful bidders shall also provide, prior to contract execution, a payment bond for 100 percent of the contract price to ensure payment to persons supplying labor and materials under the contract.
- D. All bonds shall be issued by reputable and financial sound bonding companies licensed to do business in the state in which the construction will take place.

VIII. Monitoring and Reporting Program Performance.

Principal Investigators are responsible for oversight of activities supported by Federal grant monies. Principal Investigators must monitor activities under Federal awards to ensure compliance and to ensure that performance expectations are being achieved. In addition, Principal Investigators are responsible for the timely completion of all required reports. Performance reports shall be submitted using federally approved forms and standards. Current Federal standards require that reports provide:

- A. A comparison of actual accomplishments to the objectives of the federal award.
- B. The reasons why established goals were not met, if appropriate.
- C. Additional pertinent information including, when appropriate, analysis and explanation of cost overruns or high unit costs.

IX. Significant Developments

Events may occur between the scheduled performance reporting dates that have significant impact upon the supported activity. In such cases, the University must inform the federal awarding agency or pass-through entity as soon as the following types of conditions become known:

- A. Problems, delays, or adverse conditions which will materially impair the ability to meet the objective of the Federal award. This disclosure must include a statement of the action(s) taken, or contemplated, and any assistance needed to resolve the situation.
- B. Favorable developments which enable meeting time schedules and objectives sooner or at less cost than anticipated or producing more or different beneficial results than originally planned.

X. Record Retention and Access

A. Retention

- 1. General Requirements. Financial records, supporting documents, statistical records, and all other records pertinent to a Federal award must be retained for a period of three years from the date of submission of the final expenditure report. For Federal awards that are renewed quarterly or annually, however, records must be maintained from the date of the submission of the quarterly or annual financial report, respectively. The only exceptions are the following:
 - a. If any litigation, claim, or audit is begun before the expiration of the three-year period, the records must be retained until all litigation, claims, or audit findings involving the records have been resolved and final action taken.
 - b. The three-year retention period may be extended by notice from the Federal granting agency or any other agency having oversight authority.
 - c. Records for real property and equipment acquired with Federal funds must be retained for three years after final disposition of that property or equipment.
 - d. The three year retention requirement does not apply when records are transferred to or maintained by the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity.
 - e. When the grant requires the University to report program income after the period of performance, the retention period starts from the end of the non-Federal entity's fiscal year in which the program income is earned.

2. Additional Requirements. The following apply to indirect cost rate computations or proposals, cost allocation plans, and any similar accounting computations of the rate at which a particular group of costs is chargeable:

- a. If the proposal, plan, or other computation is required to be submitted to the Federal government (or to the pass-through entity) to form the basis for negotiation of the rate, then the three-year retention period for its supporting records starts from the date of such submission.
- b. If the proposal, plan, or other computation is not required to be submitted to the Federal government (or to the pass-through entity) for negotiation purposes, then the three-year retention period for the proposal, plan, or computation and its supporting records starts from the end of the fiscal year (or other accounting period) covered by the proposal, plan, or other computation.

B. Methods for Collection, Transmission and Storage of Information

Records shall be maintained in open and machine readable formats, rather than in proprietary formats or on paper.

C. Requests for Records

Records relating to programs funded with federal monies shall be available to the Federal awarding agency, or any other Federal oversight agency, upon request. This provision includes timely and reasonable access to University personnel for inquiry related to such records. Upon receipt of any request for access to records, please notify the Office of General Counsel to obtain advice about how to respond to the request.

XI. Grant Closeout

The following timetable applies to all projects funded by federal grants unless:

- A. The grant itself sets forth another schedule; or,
- B. The granting agency agrees to extension(s) of this timetable.

The closeout timetable activities are as follows:

- A. The project leader shall submit, no later than 90 calendar days after the project end date, all financial, performance, and other reports required by the terms of the grant.
- B. All obligations under the grant shall be liquidated within 90 days of the project end date.

- C. Any funds advanced by the granting agency, but not spent in performance of the grant project, shall be refunded to the granting agency.
- D. The Principal Investigator shall account for the disposition of any real or personal property acquired with Federal funds or received from the Federal government as part of the grant project.

The closeout of a federal award does not affect the right of the awarding agency to disallow costs and recover funds through audit or other review.

XII. Consequences of Noncompliance

A. Consequences of Noncompliance for the University.

Noncompliance with the terms of this Policy can result in a variety of adverse consequences for the University, including:

1. Temporary withholding of payments pending correction of the deficiency.
2. Disallowance of all or part of the cost of the activity or action not in compliance.
3. Complete or partial suspension of the federal grant.
4. Suspension or debarment of The University from participation in federally funded programs.
5. Withholding of further federal funding.
6. Suit to recover funds paid for non-compliant activity(ies).
7. Criminal prosecution.

B. Consequences of Noncompliance for University Employees and Students.

Noncompliance with this policy can have a variety of adverse consequences for the University, including loss of access to federal funding (including student loan funding). Therefore, failure to comply with these policies and procedures may result in disciplinary action against employees in accordance with policy 4.617 (Corrective Action and Discipline), up to and including termination, and against students in accordance with policy 6.103 (Student Conduct), up to and including dismissal.

XIII. How to Report Violations

Any suspected or known violation of this policy should be reported to the Vice Chancellor of Finance/CFO at (937) 769-1304. Alternatively, reports may be made through the University's Compliance Hotline via phone at 1(866) 606-4026 or online at <https://antiochuniversity.alertline.com/>. Compliance Hotline reports may be made anonymously.

In accordance with Antioch's policy 4.007 (Whistleblower Policy), the University prohibits retaliation against those who report violations. To report retaliation, contact the Vice Chancellor of Finance/CFO at (937) 769-1304, or utilize the Compliance Hotline via phone at 1(866) 606-4026 or online at <https://antiochuniversity.alertline.com/>.

XIV. Policy Cross References

Conflicts of Interest and Commitment	Policy # 2.105
Expenditure, Contract and Signature Authority	Policy # 2.403
Contract Review	Policy # 3.425
Whistleblower Policy	Policy # 4.007
Corrective Action and Discipline	Policy # 4.617
Student Conduct	Policy # 6.103